Biol. Lett. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2008.0589

<u>b</u>iology letters **Evolutionary biology**

Convergent evolution of 'creepers' in the Hawaiian honeycreeper radiation

Dawn M. Reding^{1,2,*}, Jeffrey T. Foster^{1,3}, Helen F. James⁴, H. Douglas Pratt⁵ and Robert C. Fleischer¹

¹Center for Conservation and Evolutionary Genetics, National Zoological Park and National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC 20008, USA ²Department of Zoology, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA ³Center for Microbial Genetics & Genomics, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ 86011, USA

⁴Division of Birds, National Museum of Natural History,

Washington, DC 20013, USA

⁵North Carolina State Museum of Natural Sciences, 11 West Jones Street, Raleigh, NC 27601, USA

*Author and address for correspondence: Department of Ecology,

Evolution, and Organismal Biology, Iowa State University,

Ames, IA 50011, USA (reding@iastate.edu).

Natural selection plays a fundamental role in the ecological theory of adaptive radiation. A prediction of this theory is the convergent evolution of traits in lineages experiencing similar environments. The Hawaiian honeycreepers are a spectacular example of adaptive radiation and may demonstrate convergence, but uncertainty about phylogenetic relationships within the group has made it difficult to assess such evolutionary patterns. We examine the phylogenetic relationships of the Hawaii creeper (Oreomystis mana), a bird that in a suite of morphological, ecological and behavioural traits closely resembles the Kauai creeper (Oreomystis bairdi), but whose mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) and osteology suggest a relationship with the amakihis (Hemignathus in part) and akepas (Loxops). We analysed nuclear DNA sequence data from 11 relevant honeycreeper taxa and one outgroup to test whether the character contradiction results from historical hybridization and mtDNA introgression, or con-Q1 vergent evolution. We found no evidence of past hybridization, a phenomenon that remains undocumented in Hawaiian honeycreepers, and confirmed mtDNA and osteological evidence that the Hawaii creeper is the most closely related species to the amakihis and akepas. Thus, the morphological, ecological and behavioural similarities between the evolutionarily 52 distant Hawaii and Kauai creepers represent an 53 extreme example of convergent evolution and 54 demonstrate how natural selection can lead to 55 repeatable evolutionary outcomes. 56

Keywords: ecological convergence; convergent evolution; Hawaiian honeycreepers; mitochondrial DNA introgression; hybridization; adaptive radiation

Electronic supplementary material is available at http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1098/rsbl.2008.0589 or via http://journals.royalsociety.org.

1. INTRODUCTION

Adaptive radiation is a fascinating evolutionary process that has generated much biodiversity. Although 65 several mechanisms may be responsible for such 66 diversification, the 'ecological theory' holds that it is 67 the outcome of divergent natural selection between 68 environments (Schluter 2000). Whether adaptive 69 radiations result chiefly from such ecological speciation, 70 however, remains unclear (Schluter 2001). Convergent 71 evolution is often considered powerful evidence for the 72 role of adaptive forces in the speciation process 73 (Futuyma 1998), and thus documenting cases where it 74 has occurred is important in understanding the link 75 between natural selection and adaptive radiation. 76

The more than 50 species of Hawaiian honeycree-77 pers (subfamily Drepanidinae) are a spectacular 78 example of adaptive radiation and an interesting 79 system to test for convergence, which has been 80 suspected among the nuthatch-like 'creeper' eco-81 morph on the islands (figure 1). The Hawaii creeper 82 (Oreomystis mana) has traditionally been allied with 83 the Kauai creeper (Oreomystis bairdi), either as con-84 specific (Amadon 1950) or as a congener (Henshaw 85 1902; Pratt 1992). The two birds share many 86 similarities including bill shape, foraging and mob-87 bing behaviours, and juvenile plumage pattern (Pratt 88 1992; Foster et al. 2000; Lepson & Woodworth 89 2001). Their juvenile begging calls are nearly identical 90 and distinct from those known for other honeycree-91 pers (Pratt 2001). Both species possess a narrow, 92 simple notch-tipped tongue very different from the 93 tubular tongues of most other honeycreepers (Pratt 94 1992), including akepas (Loxops) and amakihis (Hemi-95 gnathus in part). But despite these apparent synapo-96 morphies, recent osteological and mitochondrial 97 DNA (mtDNA) evidence (Fleischer et al. 2001; 98 James 2004) suggests these two species are evolution-99 arily quite distant, and instead points to a close 100 relationship between O. mana and the cross-billed 101 akepas or curve-billed amakihis. Pratt (2001) 102 remarked that if the Kauai and Hawaii creepers 103 derived their diverse similarities by convergence, they 104 would present the most dramatic example of conver-105 gent evolution yet discovered in birds. 106

As an alternative explanation for the conflicting 107 phylogenetic evidence, Pratt (2001) suggested a past 108 hybridization event. Under this scenario, hybrids 109 would have involved male Oreomystis mating with 110 female akepa or amakihi. If the Hawaii creeper 111 descended from such offspring, it could have retained 112 most of the phenotypic characteristics of Oreomystis 113 114 but possess mtDNA from the introgressing species. 115 Hybridization can result in a significantly different 116 genealogy for mtDNA from that of most genes in a species, as mtDNA is more likely to introgress than 117 nuclear DNA (nucDNA; Ballard & Whitlock 2004). 118 Indeed, mtDNA from one taxon can completely replace 119 that in another, with little or no evidence of nuclear 120 introgression or morphological change (Bernatchez 121 et al. 1995). No unequivocal cases of hybridization have 122 123 yet been documented in Hawaiian honeycreepers. If 124 past introgression is responsible for the incongruence 125 between mitochondrial sequences and some striking 126 phenotypic characters, nucDNA markers should reveal 127 the contribution of the Oreomystis lineage to the genetic 128 signal of the Hawaii creeper.

1

50 51

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64



Figure 1. Photographic comparison of the (a) Kauai creeper and (b) Hawaii creeper. Photographs by Jack Jeffrey.

Here, we examine nucDNA sequences from several honeycreeper species to test whether convergence or introgression characterizes the relationship between the Hawaii and Kauai creepers.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

We analysed 19 individuals belonging to 11 relevant honeycreeper taxa and one outgroup. See appendix S1, electronic supplementary material, for provenance of samples. Five distinct gene regions, including four nuclear introns and one exon (see electronic supplementary material), generated ca 2500 BP of sequence data. We analysed the intron and exon datasets both separately and concatenated. We conducted a homogeneity partition test (ILD, Farris et al. 1995) with heuristic search, as implemented in the program PAUP* v. 4.0.b10 (Swofford 2002), to evaluate the congruence of phylogenetic signal between the two sequence sets. We reconstructed phylogenies using three approaches: maximum parsimony (MP); maximum likelihood (ML); and Bayesian inference (see electronic supplementary material). To evaluate the strength of the evidence for the placement of the Hawaii creeper with akepa/amakihi versus Kauai creeper, we subsequently applied constraints in the MP and ML methods to force the alternative topology, and compared the resulting ML trees with the unconstrained trees using the S-H test (Shimodaira & Hasegawa 1999; see electronic supplementary material). In addition to the nucDNA data, we obtained and analysed 1254 bp of mtDNA sequences from the same specimens (see electronic supplementary material for methods and genes used). All sequences have been deposited in GenBank (FJ266094-FJ266312).

3. RESULTS

The partition-homogeneity test was non-significant (p=0.10), indicating congruence between the different gene regions and justifying the concatenation of the nucDNA sequences into a single data matrix, but we also analysed the introns and exon separately. The results of the separate analyses were concordant with those from the concatenated analysis (see electronic supplementary material), so we present only the results from the combined dataset.

183 MP analysis of the combined dataset resulted in 184 120 equally parsimonious trees of length 113, and 185 ML analysis resulted in four equally likely trees with 186 a -ln likelihood score of 4195.1. All of the MP and 187 ML trees placed the Hawaii creeper in a clade with 188 the akepas and amakihis (figure 2). Bootstrap values 189 at this node for both MP and ML analyses were 190 moderately high (higher than 75%). Bayesian infer-191 ence also produced a consensus topology similar to 192 the MP and ML results and showed strong support

Biol. Lett.

for placement of Hawaii creeper with the akepas and amakihis, as noted by a high posterior probability value of 1.0 for this clade (figure 2). Trees constructed using mtDNA sequences from the specimens in this analysis (figure S3, in the electronic supplementary material) were nearly identical to the trees from the nuclear gene analyses (figure 2) and generally matched previous trees based on more taxa, especially with regards to the position of the Hawaii creeper (Fleischer *et al.* 2001). 193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

Constraining the topology such that the Hawaii and Kauai creepers formed a monophyletic group increased the length of the MP tree by eight steps and lowered the score of the ML tree by 28.6. Likelihood scores of the constrained trees were significantly worse (p=0.007, S–H test) than that of figure 2.

4. DISCUSSION

By corroborating the phylogeny obtained from 230 mtDNA and osteology, the results from nucDNA 231 232 markers indicate that creepers in the Hawaiian Islands are an astounding and strongly supported example of 233 ecological convergence. The two species apparently 234 evolved independently to fill similar niches on separ-235 ate islands, and morphological and behavioural traits 236 237 converged as a result of similar selective pressures. Although a detailed osteological analysis supports the 238 molecular result (James 2004), most other phenotypic 239 characters support the hypothesis of a close relation-240 241 ship between the Hawaii and Kauai creepers (Pratt 242 2001). Characters such as song and plumage, which 243 may be subjected to natural or sexual selection, 244 exhibit high levels of evolutionary lability and homoplasy in birds (e.g. Price et al. 2007). Tongue 245 morphology may also be under selection for different 246 foraging strategies, with a simple forked tongue being 247 better adapted to picking up insects than the brush-248 tipped tubular tongue of most other honeycreepers 249 (Richards & Bock 1973). It is, however, surprising 250 that a behavioural trait not clearly related to foraging, 251 such as juvenile begging calls, would be convergent. 252 Overall, the observed level of discord between 253 evolutionary relatedness and behavioural and 254 255 morphological traits is unprecedented among Hawaiian 256 honeycreepers, and surprising among birds generally.

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

Convergence in Hawaiian honeycreepers D. M. Reding et al. 3



0.008

Figure 2. Bayesian consensus topology obtained from the analysis of sequences from five introns and one exon, partitioned into two parts. Bootstrap values from MP and ML analyses (1000 replicates each), and posterior probability values (\times 100) from Bayesian analysis (15 000 trees sampled) are provided for each node. A dash indicates less than 50% support. Collapsed nodes had low bootstrap and posterior probability values (i.e. less than 50%). The Hawaii creeper/akepa/amakihi clade (node H) is shown in bold. The scale at the bottom indicates 0.8% divergence along a branch.

Based on our and previous results, we recommend the removal of the Hawaii creeper from the genus *Oreomystis*. The statistical polytomy among the Hawaii creeper, amakihis and akepas (figure 2), however, makes the relationships among those three groups unclear. Further research will be needed before we can say whether the Hawaii creeper deserves a monotypic genus (which would require a new name) or can be classified within an existing one. But because of the rapidity of the radiation and the large number of extinctions (Fleischer *et al.* 1998; James 2004), phylogenetic study of the Hawaiian honeycreepers remains challenging.

The convergence of creepers contrasts with the pattern of the few other honeycreeper ecomorphs that have been examined, which apparently evolved only once and dispersed among the islands (Fleischer et al. 2001). These analyses, however, included only extant taxa. In addition, in the absence of convincing evidence of hybridization among them, Hawaiian honeycreepers contrast sharply with the other iconic avian island radiation, Darwin's finches, in which hybridization and introgression are known to play a major evolutionary role (Grant & Grant 2008). None-theless, the discovery of this previously unknown example of convergence in Hawaiian honeycreepers adds to the growing list of adaptive radiations demonstrating repeated evolution of similar eco-morphs in comparable environments (e.g. Anolis lizards: Losos *et al.* 1998; cichlid fishes: Rüber *et al.* 1999; Hawaiian *Tetragnatha* spiders: Gillespie 2004). Such deterministic patterns of evolution underscore the important role of natural selection in shaping adaptive radiations, and call into question the view that evolution is inherently contingent and unpredictable (Gould 1989).

Q2 We thank T. Pratt, C. Atkinson, S. Conant, A. McClung, P. Hart, D. Duffy and B. Woodworth for providing samples for analysis, and M. Haynie, C. McIntosh and the EEOB Department at Iowa State University for logistic support. NSF DEB-0083944, DEB-0643291 and the Smithsonian Institution's Center for Conservation and Evolutionary Genetics provided funding.

Amadon, D. 1950 The Hawaiian honeycreepers (Aves, Drepaniidae). Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. 95, 151-262.

- Ballard, J. W. & Whitlock, M. C. 2004 The incomplete natural history of mitochondria. *Mol. Ecol.* **13**, 729–744. (doi:10.1046/j.1365-294X.2003.02063.x)
- Bernatchez, L., Glémet, H., Wilson, C. C. & Danzmann,
 R. G. 1995 Introgression and fixation of Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) mitochondrial genome in an allopatric population of brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*). *Can.*J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 52, 179–185. (doi:10.1139/f95-018)
- Farris, J. S., Kallersjo, M., Kluge, A. G. & Bult, C. 1995 Testing significance of incongruence. *Cladistics* **10**, 383 315–319. (doi:10.1111/j.1096-0031.1994.tb00181.x) 384

4 D. M. Reding et al. Convergence in Hawaiian honeycreepers

- Fleischer, R. C., McIntosh, C. E. & Tarr, C. L. 1998 Evolution
 on a volcanic conveyor belt: using phylogeographic reconstructions and K–Ar-based ages of the Hawaiian Islands to estimate molecular evolutionary rates. *Mol. Ecol.* 7, 533–545. (doi:10.1046/j.1365-294x.1998.00364.x)
- Fleischer, R. C., Tarr, C. L., James, H. F., Slikas, B. & McIntosh, C. E. 2001 Phylogenetic placement of the Poouli, *Melamprosops phaeosoma*, based on mitochondrial DNA sequence and osteological characters. *Stud. Avian Biol.* 22, 98–103.
 - Foster, J. T., Scott, J. M. & Sykes Jr, P. W. 2000 Akikiki (Oreomystis bairdi). In The birds of North America, no. 552 (eds A. Poole & F. Gill), Philadelphia, PA: The Birds of North America, Inc.
 - Futuyma, D. J. 1998 *Evolutionary biology*. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
 - Gillespie, R. 2004 Community assembly through adaptive radiation in Hawaiian spiders. *Science* **303**, 356–359. (doi:10.1126/science.1091875)
 - Gould, S. J. 1989 Wonderful life: the Burgess Shale and the nature of history. New York, NY: W.W. Norton.
 - Grant, P. R. & Grant, B. R. 2008 How and why species multiply: the radiation of Darwin's finches. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Henshaw, H. W. 1902 Birds of the Hawaiian Islands being a
 complete list of the birds of the Hawaiian possessions with
 notes on their habits. Honolulu, HI: Thos. G. Thrum.
 - James, H. F. 2004 The osteology and phylogeny of the Hawaiian finch radiation (Fringillidae: Drepanidini), including extinct taxa. *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* **141**, 207–255. (doi:10.1111/j.1096-3642.2004.00117.x)
- 413
 414
 414
 415
 416
 416
 417
 418
 418
 419
 419
 419
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 411
 411
 411
 412
 412
 412
 413
 414
 414
 414
 415
 416
 416
 416
 417
 418
 418
 418
 419
 419
 419
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 411
 411
 412
 412
 412
 413
 414
 414
 414
 415
 416
 416
 416
 416
 416
 417
 418
 418
 418
 419
 419
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 411
 411
 412
 412
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414
 414

- Losos, J. B., Jackman, T. R., Larson, A., de Queiroz, K. & 449 Rodriguez-Schettino, L. 1998 Contingency and determinism in replicated adaptive radiations of island lizards. *Science* 451 **279**, 2115–2118. (doi:10.1126/science.279.5359.2115) 452
- Pratt, H. D. 1992 Systematics of the Hawaiian "creepers" Oreomystis and Paroreomyza. Condor 94, 836–846. (doi:10.2307/1369281)
 453
- Pratt, H. D. 2001 Why the Hawaii Creeper is an *Oreomystis*: what phenotypic characters reveal about the phylogeny of Hawaiian honeycreepers. *Stud. Avian Biol.* **22**, 81–97.
- Price, J. J., Friedman, N. R. & Omland, K. E. 2007 Song and plumage evolution in the new world orioles (*Icterus*) show similar lability and convergence in patterns. *Evolution* 61, 850–863. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007. 00082.x)
 458
- Richards, L. P. & Bock, W. J. 1973 Functional anatomy and 463 adaptive evolution of the feeding apparatus in the 464 Hawaiian honeycreeper genus *Loxops* (Drepanididae). 465 Ornith. Monog. 15, 1–173. 466
- Rüber, L., Verheyen, E. & Meyer, A. 1999 Replicated evolution of trophic specializations in an endemic cichlid fish lineage from Lake Tanganyika. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* USA 96, 10 230–10 235. (doi:10.1073/pnas.96.18.10230)
- Schluter, D. 2000 *The ecology of adaptive radiation*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Schluter, D. 2001 Ecology and the origin of species. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **16**, 372–380. (doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(01) 02198-X)
- Shimodaira, H. & Hasegawa, M. 1999 Multiple comparisons of log-likelihoods with applications to phylogenetic inference. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **16**, 1114–1116.
- Swofford, D. L. 2002 *PAUP*: Phylogenetic analysis using* parsimony (*and other methods), v. 4.0. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.

Convergence in Hawaiian honeycreepers D. M. Reding et al. 5

Auu	hor Queries	577
ĴОВ	NUMBER: 20080589	578
7 0U	URNAL: RSBL	579
5		580
01	Please check the edit of the sentence 'We found no	582
Ger	evidence '	583
Q2	Please provide an ethics statement relevant to the	584
	guidelines of the country where the research took	585
	place.	586
Q3	Please provide page range details for the reference	587
	Foster et al. (2000).	588
Q4	Please provide page range details for the reference	589
	Lepson & Woodworth (2001).	590
		591
		592
		595
		595
		596
		597
		598
		599
		600
		601
		602
		603
		605
		606
		607
		608
		609
		610
		611
		612
		613
		614
		616
		617
		618
		619
		620
		621
		622
		623
		624
		625
		627
		628
		629
		630
		631
		632
		633
		634
		635
		030 637
		638
		050
		639